



APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09/955798

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MR. LASKY (3) _____

(2) EXR. WEINSTEIN (4) _____

Date of Interview 6/2/04

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: ALL

Identification of prior art discussed: REFERENCES APPLIED

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____

THE LATEST AMENDMENT WAS DISCUSSED. THE EXAMINER
POINTED OUT THAT THE NEW APPARATUS CLAIMS ARE NOT
APPROPRIATE IN A/RCE BECAUSE NEWLY CLAIMED CLASSES OF
INVENTION ARE NOT PERMISSIBLE AND APPLICANT HAS CONSTRUCTIVELY
ELECTED THE POUCH.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Steve Weinstein
STEVE WEINSTEIN
PRIMARY EXAMINER 1761